

Record of proceedings dated 11.08.2022

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 32 of 2015 & I. A. No. 5 of 2015	M/s. Tata Power Trading Company Ltd.	TSDISCOMs, APSPDCL, APEPDCL and APPCC

Petition filed seeking questioning the illegal, unilateral and wrongful deduction of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- towards illegal compensation claim for supply of short term power.

I. A. filed seeking release of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- in lieu of bank guarantee for corresponding amounts.

Sri M. Ramakanth, Advocate for petitioner and Sri D. N. Sarma, OSD (Legal and Commercial) for respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that the matter before the Hon'ble High Court is yet to be decided, though efforts are made for listing, it is not seeing the light of the day. Therefore, adjournment may be granted for a longer period. The representative of the respondents did not oppose the same. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 07.10.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 16 of 2017 & I. A. No. 25 of 2017	M/s. Sundew Properties Limited	TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking transfer of distribution assets falling within the area of SEZ area.

I. A. filed seeking directions to respondent No. 1 to disconnect the consumers pertaining to SPL's licence area and handover the assets to the petitioner and also to the respondent No. 2 to grant transmission connectivity at 33 KV level on two Nos. of 33 KV SPL feeders.

Sri T. G. Rajesh Kumar, advocate representing M/s. J. Sagar Associates, counsel for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the parties had earlier represented that they would have mutual discussion in the presence of the counsel for parties for arriving at a solution in the matter. Efforts were made to organize the meeting on the subject matter, but the same could not be fructified. He

requested for further time in making efforts for scheduling a meeting and arriving at a solution. He also filed copies of letters addressed to the CMD of TSSPDCL on 14.07.2022 and 08.08.2022. The representative of the respondents stated that he would appraise the management of the situation and also the communications sent by the petitioner and seek instructions in the matter. Considering the submissions of the representatives and in view of the fact that the appeal filed by the petitioner is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 14.11.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 4 of 2021	M/s. Sundew Properties Limited	— None—

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for the power procured by it / to be charged to its consumers with TSSPDCL tariff as the ceiling tariff.

Sri T. G. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate representing M/s. J. Sagar Associates, counsel for petitioner is present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is connected with O. P. No. 16 of 2017 and accordingly, the same may be adjourned. Therefore, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 14.11.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 27 of 2016	M/s. Sugna Metals Limited	DE (O) Vikarabad TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the CGRF and to punish the licensee u/s 142 of the Act, 2003.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents is present. There is no representation for petitioner. The Commission, noticing that there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, directed the office to issue notice to the counsel for petitioner. The representative of the respondents stated that the matter before the Hon'ble High Court is still pending and he would submit the

developments, if any, on the next date of hearing. In view of the above position, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 14.11.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 59 of 2018	TSDISCOMs	APGENCO, APTRANSCO & APDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking certain directions to APGENCO and APDISCOMs

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for petitioners is present. There is no representation on behalf of the respondents. The representative of the petitioners sought adjournment, stating that he needs further time for instructions in the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 14.11.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 10 of 2021	M/s. Medak Solar Projects Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 46 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter had been adjourned earlier due to pendency of appeal before the Hon'ble ATE. The appeal has been disposed by the Hon'ble ATE and the Commission was directed to restore the original petition upon which the present proceedings arise and to dispose of the same within two months. However, he needs time to make submissions in the original petition now listed separately. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 11 of 2021	M/s. Dubbak Solar Projects Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 47 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter had been adjourned earlier due to pendency of appeal before the Hon'ble ATE. The appeal has been disposed by the Hon'ble ATE and the Commission was directed to restore the original petition upon which the present proceedings arise and to dispose of the same within two months. However, he needs time to make submissions in the original petition now listed separately. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 12 of 2021	M/s. Sarvotham Care	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents for non-compliance of the order dated 02.01.2019 in O. P. No. 61 of 2018 passed by the Commission.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter had been adjourned earlier due to pendency of appeal before the Hon'ble ATE. The appeal has been disposed by the Hon'ble ATE and the Commission was directed to restore the original petition upon which the present proceedings arise and to dispose of the same within two months. However, he needs time to make submissions in the original petition now listed separately. The representative of the respondents has no objection. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 46 of 2018	M/s. Medak Solar Projects Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking declaration of the claim of the units fed into grid by the petitioner's 8.24 MW solar plant from the date of synchronization to the date of LTOA agreement as deemed to have been banked or in alternative to pay for the same.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the original petition was earlier disposed of by the Commission, which was challenged by the DISCOM before the Hon'ble ATE. The Hon'ble ATE had disposed of the appeal duly remanding the matter back to the Commission for a fresh disposal in terms of the points culled out by the Hon'ble ATE. He needs time to make submissions on the points mentioned in the order of the Hon'ble ATE. The representative of the respondents confirmed the submissions of the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner. Further, the counsel for petitioner filed a letter seeking adjournment in the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 47 of 2018	M/s. Dubbak Solar Projects Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking declaration of the claim of the units fed into grid by the petitioner's 8 MW solar plant from the date of synchronization to the date of LTOA agreement as deemed to have been banked or in alternative to pay for the same.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the original petition was earlier disposed of by the Commission, which was challenged by the DISCOM before the Hon'ble ATE. The Hon'ble ATE had disposed of the appeal duly remanding the matter back to the Commission for a fresh disposal in terms of the points culled out by the Hon'ble ATE. He needs time to make submissions on the points mentioned in the order of the Hon'ble ATE. The representative of the

respondents confirmed the submissions of the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner. Further, the counsel for petitioner filed a letter seeking adjournment in the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 61 of 2018	M/s. Sarvotham Care	TSTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking declaration of the claim of the units fed into grid by the petitioner's 3 MW solar plant from the date of synchronization to the date of LTOA agreement as deemed to have been banked or in alternative to pay for the same.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents are present. The advocate representing the counsel for the petitioner stated that the original petition was earlier disposed of by the Commission, which was challenged by the DISCOM before the Hon'ble ATE. The Hon'ble ATE had disposed of the appeal duly remanding the matter back to the Commission for a fresh disposal in terms of the points culled out by the Hon'ble ATE. He needs time to make submissions on the points mentioned in the order of the Hon'ble ATE. The representative of the respondents confirmed the submissions of the advocate representing the counsel for petitioner. Further, the counsel for petitioner filed a letter seeking adjournment in the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 45 of 2022	M/s. SLS Power Corporation Ltd.	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking declaration of the petitioner's project to be recognized under RPPO Regulation and consequently grant accreditation.

Sri M. Sridhar, Advocate representing Sri Challa Gunarajan, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent are present. The advocate representing the counsel for petitioner stated that the counter affidavit is

yet to be filed by the respondent. The advocate representing the counsel for respondent stated that the counter affidavit has already been filed. The Commission observed that the respondent should make available a copy of the counter affidavit and the petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, by the next date of hearing duly serving a copy on the respondent. No further adjournment would be granted on any of the above pretext. The matter is adjourned on the condition that submissions will be made by the parties on the next date of hearing.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 38 of 2021	M/s. Sri Ambika Steel Industries	TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed seeking penal action against the TSSPDCL and its officers for non-compliance of the directions given in the order dated 09.09.2021 by the Commission.

Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents is present. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The representative of the respondents stated that the appeal filed by the respondents is still pending before the Hon'ble ATE. In view of the above, the the matter is adjourned.

Call on 14.11.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. (SR) No. 57 of 2021 & I. A. (SR) No. 58 of 2021	M/s. Halo Energies Private Limited	TSSPDCL & its officers

Petition filed seeking to question the levy of cross subsidy surcharge towards the power drawn by its consumers.

I. A. filed seeking direction to the respondents not to deduct or recover CSS from the bills of its consumers pending disposal of the main petition.

Sri S. Ravi, Senior Advocate alongwith Sri M. Naga Deepak, Advocate for the petitioner is present. The counsel for petitioner stated that as directed by the Commission, the petitioner has withdrawn the writ petitions in W. P. No. 7118 of 2021 and W. P. No. 29086 of 2018. He has filed a memo duly enclosing the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the respective writ petitions. In view of the

above, he requested the Commission to take the petition on the file of the Commission. The Commission, considering the memo filed by the counsel for petitioner, is agreeable to take the petition on record. The office is directed to number the petition and application as also issue notice to the parties in the matter. The matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 25 of 2022	M/s. The Hyderabad Institute of Oncology Private Limited	TSTRANSCO & TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking payment of amount towards power supplied to the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 from February, 2018 to November, 2021.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents is present. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Office is directed to communicate the posing of the matter as there is no representation. The representative of the respondents has no objection for adjournment of the matter. The matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 43 of 2022	M/s. Pemmasani Solar Power Private Limited	TSSPDCL alongwith its officer & TPCC

Petition filed seeking payments of interest due along with late payment charges on such amount due in respect of 10 MW project near 132 / 33 KV Makthal substation in Mahabubnagar district.

Sri P. Soma Sekhara Naidu, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for the respondents are present. The counsel for petitioner stated that no counter affidavit is filed in the matter. The representative of the respondents sought further time for filing counter affidavit. The Commission observed as to whether there is necessity to impose costs for non-filing of the counter affidavit. The representative of the respondents pleaded for one more chance to file counter

affidavit in the matter. Considering the request of the representative of the respondents, the time is granted for filing counter affidavit. Upon filing of the counter affidavit, the counsel for petitioner is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any by duly serving the same on the respondents. The matter is adjourned.

Call on 01.09.2022 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman